

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/742,862	09/742,862 12/20/2000		Jonathan Spetner	7727	7603
21888	7590	05/18/2005		EXAMINER	
THOMPSO		-	GARCIA, ERNESTO		
ONE US BA		ZA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ST LOUIS,	_	.01	3679		
				DATE MAILED: 05/18/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/742,862	SPETNER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Ernesto Garcia	3679	

	Ernesto Garcia	3679	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 May 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) a
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).			-
7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	⊠ will not be entered, or b)	II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-9 and 11-20</u> .			
Claim(s) vigletted. 1,3-9 and 77-20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	at before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidax	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been consideration because:	ered but does NOT place the appli	cation in condition for	allowance
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	Jo(s)	
13. Other:	(miel P St	todolo
	,	DANIEL P. STODOLA	

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600**

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20050510





Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: a: The new limitation "marketing good and services over an internet including providing a website of a vendor over the internet" in lines 2-3 of claim 18 required further search and consideration.

c: Regarding amended claim 1, this claim is finally rejected claim 4. Therefore, claim 1 is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance. d: Newly presented claims 21-40 require further search and consideration. In particular, proposes to broadly set forth a "method" without regard to the type of method and significantly broadens the scope of the previously presented method by omitting numerous of the steps previously present. See New claim 21 as compared to finally rejected claim 18. this also apples to new claim 31. Further, numerous of the newly proposed dependent "method" claims fails to recite any further method step. Note that patentability of method claims is based on recited method steps, not structural recitations, even when only structure is recited. For example, note that claims 33 and 34 fail to set forth any further method step.